

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	09/848,343		05/04/2001	Kun-Ho Cho	1293.1200	6720
-	21171	21171 7590 10/18/2004			EXAMINER	
	STAAS &		EY LLP	HINDI, NABIL Z		NABIL Z
			AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005					2655	

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	•	09/848,343	CHO, KUN-HO				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		NABIL Z HINDI	2655				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Au	iaust 2004.					
		action is non-final.					
3) 🗌							
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4) 🖂	<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) 1-5,8-12,14,16 and 17 is/are allowed.</li> </ul>						
5)							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>6, 7, 13, 15 and 18</u> is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)∐	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
44)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment	t(s)						
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
3) 🔲 Inforn	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Tr	ademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/848,343

Art Unit: 2655

In response to applicant's amendment dated August 18, 2004, the following action is taken:

Claims 6, 7, 13, 15 and 18 are rejected for the same reasons set forth in the previous office action mailed May 24, 2004.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 6, 7, 13,15 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ishibashi et al (6442114).

The reference shows an light beam source emitting a plurality of light beam spots on the record medium L1-L3, a detection means for receiving the light beams 11a-11c, a signal delay means for delaying on the signals detected by the detection means and not delay one of the other signal detected by the detection means 12a, 12b and non-delayed signal S3.

With respect to the limitation of claim 7-see element PX.

With respect to the limitation of claim 13 see elements L1-L3.

Application/Control Number: 09/848,343

Art Unit: 2655

With respect to the limitations of claims 15 and 18 see elements 12a and 12b.

Claims 1-5, 8-12, 14, 16 and 17 are allowed.

Applicant's arguments filed August 18, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Claim 6 is drawn to the limitation "same displacement in a radial direction" and not to the limitation "same track" or "circumferential direction of the disk". Applicant pointed out fig 2 as showing the same radial direction. However fig 7 of the Ishibashi et al reference does disclose the use of light beams in "a same displacement in a radial direction" corresponding to fig 2 of the disclosed invention.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

NABIL HINDI PRIMARY EXAMINAL GROUP 20135